

STATE OF MARYLAND

v.

JULIAN VON BLACKMAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

FOR FREDERICK COUNTY

CASE NO. C-10-CR-18-000914

MOTIONS PURSUANT TO MARYLAND RULE 4-252

Pursuant to Maryland Rule 4-252, Defendant, through the Office of the Public Defender, respectfully represents that:

1. Any in-court identification at the trial of the Defendant will be tainted as a result of impermissible suggestive identification procedures undertaken by police authorities and/or will be the result of an illegal arrest or search.
2. Evidence seized in this case was obtained as the result of an illegal: search and seizure, interception of wire or oral communication, electronic surveillance, including but not limited to any cell-site simulator device such as (stingray) or other device, or illegal pre-trial identification.
3. Any statements or confessions taken from the Defendant were involuntary and were elicited during custodial interrogation without the observance of the procedural safeguards required by law.
4. The Defendant will be prejudiced by the joinder of the Defendant's trial with that of any Co-Defendants and that the Defendant will be prejudiced by the joinder of charges arising from separate incidents and moves to sever. The Defendant objects to any state motion to join defendants for trial.
5. The charging document is defective, duplicitous, and operates to deny Defendant due process of law.
6. This prosecution was defectively instituted.
7. This prosecution is barred because of a statute of limitations, immunity, former jeopardy, and/or delay of prosecution, including but not limited to a violation of the Defendant's right to a speedy trial, pursuant to the 180 day rule under Hicks, and his constitutional right to a speedy trial.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for the following relief:

- A. Dismissal of the charging document;
- B. Suppression of any in-court identification at the trial and/or illegally seized evidence and/or statements or confessions, illegally intercepted wire or oral communication, or pre-trial identification;
- C. Severance of indictments/information and/or severance of his trial from that of any and all Co-Defendants; and
- D. Any and all further relief available by law.

/s/Stephanie Pankiewicz

Stephanie Pankiewicz
Assistant Public Defender
CPF No. 1306190090

100 West Patrick Street
Frederick, MD 21701
(301) 600-1988

Fax: (301) 600-1986
E-Mail: SPankiewicz@opd.state.md.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 27, 2018, a copy of the foregoing was electronically e-filed through MDEC and served on the State's Attorney's Office for Frederick County.

/s/Stephanie Pankiewicz

Stephanie Pankiewicz
Assistant Public Defender

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

U.S. Constitution, Due Process Clause, 4th and 14th Amendments

Article 21, 26, Maryland Declaration of Rights

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1966)

Brown v. Illinois, 422 U.S. 590 (1975)

Brown v. Texas, 443 U.S. 47 (1979)

Dickerson v. United States, 530 U.S. 428 (2000)

Ferris v. State, 355 Md. 356 (1999)

Jones v. State, 343 Md. 448 (1996)

Laws and Dorman v. State, 6 Md.App. 243, 251 A.2d 237 (1969)

Manson v. Brathwaite, 432 U.S. 98 (1977)

McKnight v. State, 280 Md. 604 (1977)

Miranda v. Arizona, 34 U.S. 436 (1966)

Neil v. Biggers, 409 U.S. 188 (1972)

Schneckloth v. Bustamonte, 412 U.S. 218 (1973)

Simmons v. United States, 390 U.S. (1969)

State v. Andrews, 227 Md.App 350 (2016)

State v. Hicks, 285 Md. 310 (1979)

Williams v. State, 226 Md. 614, 174 A.2d 719 (1961)

Wong Sun v. United States, 371 U.S. 471 (1963)

Art. 6 §103, Trial Date. Courts and Judicial Procedure, MD CODE

Rule 4-102 – Definitions

Rule 4-202 – Charging document – Content

Rule 4-203 – Charging document – Joinder of offenses and defendants

Rule 4-204 – Charging document – Amendment

Rule 4-253 – Joint or separate trials

Rule 4-271 – Trial Date